

The purpose of the bill is to place Miss Hunter, a former teacher in the public schools of the District of Columbia, on the list of retired teachers, and to pay her, from the teachers' retirement fund, an annuity computed as provided by existing law relating to retirement of teachers in the District of Columbia public schools.

This teacher entered the service on February 5, 1895, and her service was terminated by voluntary resignation on April 12, 1919, prior to the establishment of a retirement system for District teachers by the Teachers' Retirement Act of January 15, 1920, which became effective on March 1, 1920.

The report on this bill, made by the District Commissioners to the chairman of the House District Committee, states that there are a number of former teachers now living in the District of Columbia who are in the same position as Miss Hunter, in that they retired from the service prior to the passage of the Teachers' Retirement Act, have not contributed to the teachers' retirement fund, and are not, therefore, entitled to retirement benefits. There are likewise other former employees of the District, as well as the Federal Government, who resigned prior to the establishment of a retirement system for such employees, and are, therefore, excluded from retirement benefits.

In spite of the apparently excellent service record of the employee in this case, I do not feel that I would be justified in approving a bill which would single her out for preferred consideration to the exclusion of other cases of a similar character.

FRANKLIN D. ROOSEVELT.

THE WHITE HOUSE, August 7, 1939.

H. R. 5923. I have withheld my approval from H. R. 5923, a bill for the relief of Simon A. Brieger as legal representative of the estate of Thomas Gerald Brieger, a deceased minor.

The bill provides for the payment of \$5,000 in full settlement of a claim against the United States on account of the death of a 4-year-old child. While I do not think that the record in the case establishes any high degree of negligence on the part of the employee who was driving the truck, my main objection to the bill is what I consider the excessive amount of the proposed settlement.

I have before me H. R. 5259, for the relief of Mrs. Laver Taylor, in settlement of her claim against the United States for the death of an 18-year-old son. The amount involved in that claim is \$1,360. The Congress estimated the probable loss of income for a period of 3 years, and made an allowance for medical and funeral expenses and for mental suffering and loss of companionship. It seems to me that the Congress adjudicated this claim on an equitable basis.

In another bill now before me, H. R. 5698, for the relief of H. H. Rhyne, Jr., the sum of \$3,000 is provided in settlement for the death of his 9-year-old daughter.

In these circumstances, I consider the proposed settlement of \$5,000 for the death of the 4-year-old son of Mr. Brieger as excessive, and therefore feel constrained to withhold approval of this bill.

FRANKLIN D. ROOSEVELT.

THE WHITE HOUSE, August 7, 1939.

H. R. 6899. I have withheld my approval of H. R. 6899, Seventy-sixth Congress, an act granting pensions to certain veterans of the Civil War.

This bill provides:

That the Administrator of Veterans' Affairs be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension rolls, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws—

The name of Alfred Daugherty, late of Capt. Richard F. Taylor's Company C, Middle Green River Battalion, Kentucky State Troops, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$50 per month.

The name of William H. Jones, late of Capt. John R. Curry's Company D, South Cumberland Battalion, Kentucky State Troops, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$50 per month.

The above-described persons are ineligible for military pension from the Federal Government because of the fact that they were never mustered into the Federal service, their entire period of duty having been performed for the State of Kentucky.

A number of semimilitary companies were organized in Kentucky during the last year of the Civil War to take the place of the regular State militia, which had been mustered into the Federal service. These companies were organized under the authority of the State for the sole purpose of affording police protection to life and property in certain parts of the State during the absence of the regular State military forces. They were not mustered into the Federal service and rendered no military service in connection with the Civil War.

Approval of the enrolled bill would have the effect of granting benefits which are denied in other cases where facts are similar. Since there are no circumstances which would warrant granting preferential treatment to the persons named in the bill, I find myself unable to give my approval to this enactment.

FRANKLIN D. ROOSEVELT.

THE WHITE HOUSE, August 7, 1939.

On August 9, 1939:

H. R. 4831. I have withheld approval from H. R. 4831, a bill authorizing the Secretary of the Interior to pay salaries and expenses of the chairman, secretary, and interpreter of the Menominee General Council, members of the Menominee advisory council, and official delegates of the Menominee Tribe.

This measure authorizes an annual expenditure from the tribal funds of the Menominee Indians in Wisconsin without further Budget or congressional review. It seems to me that the bill is contrary to one of the principal purposes of the Permanent Appropriation Repeal Act of 1934, that is, to bring before the Congress the estimated expenditures for a given agency. Moreover, a provision is contained in the Interior Department Appropriation Act, 1940, for defraying the expenses of tribal councils or committees thereof. It seems to me that annual expenditures from Indian tribal funds should be scrutinized by the Congress with the same care that proposed expenditures from the Federal Treasury are examined.

For the foregoing reasons, I have withheld approval of this bill.

FRANKLIN D. ROOSEVELT.

THE WHITE HOUSE, August 9, 1939.

H. R. 5743. I have withheld my approval of H. R. 5743 entitled, "An act for the relief of Walter C. Holmes."

The indebtedness to the United States represented in this bill resulted from the payment to Mr. Holmes of the compensation of two positions, covering the same period of time, in contravention of the act of May 10, 1916, as amended. In view of the correspondence between the Lighthouse Service and Mr. Holmes regarding the position of lamplighter, I am of the opinion that Mr. Holmes could not have been completely ignorant of the existence of a limitation on the compensation which a Government employee occupying more than one position could receive, even if he were not aware of the actual amount of such limitation. This being so, he was under a duty to inquire into the matter when his compensation from the Coast Guard was increased. A disregard of the law in this case, if condoned by the relief here sought, would result in the establishment of a precedent tending to induce disregard of this and other laws enacted for the purpose of limiting and restricting the expenditure of public funds.

For these reasons I am unable to approve this measure.

FRANKLIN D. ROOSEVELT.

THE WHITE HOUSE, August 9, 1939.

H. R. 1177. I am withholding approval from H. R. 1177, a bill for the relief of Bessie Bear Robe. The bill provides the payment of \$3,000 to the claimant by reason of the death of her son.

On page 5 of House Report 750, Seventy-sixth Congress, on this bill is a reproduction of a claim for damages signed by Bessie Bear Robe. The amount stated in the claim is \$2,000. Hospitalization and burial expenses in connection with the son of Mrs. Bear Robe were borne by the Government. No reason is given for the enactment of legislation authorizing